United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

76-7039

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

BISGANATH HALDER.

Plain E66 - DANellant, DOCKET 76 - 7039

- against -

DVIS RENT - A CAR SYSTEM, INC., . AFFIDAVIT

Defendant - DAKeller:

STATE OF NEW YORK) COUNTY OF QUEENS)



BISGANATH HALDER BEING DULY SCORN, DEPOSES AND SAYS :

1. I , THE APPELLANT IN THE ABOVE ' CAUSE OF ACTION, AN ENTIRELY FAMILIAR WITH ALL THE FACTS INVOLVED IN AND THE BREKEROUND OF THIS PROCEEDING, AND I SUBMIT THIS AFFIDAVIT IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF THE APPELLANT'S INSTANT APPEAL, URGING THE REVERSAL OF THE LOUSER COURT'S DECISION ON THE GROUND OF "ABUSE OF DISCRETION" BY THE TRIAL JUDGE IN DENYING A PRELIMINARY POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF THE APPELLEE.

2. THE DEFENDANT - APPELLEES PART I OF
THE ARCUMENT IS NOTHING BUT A WORD FOR
WORD REPETETION OF THE DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDOM
IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, DATED DECEMBER 3, 1935.

THE PLAINTIFF . APPELLANT HAS FOLLY ANSWERED THE SAID MEMORANDOM IN THE HALDER AFFIDAVIT OF DECEMBER IS, 1935.

THEREFORE, THE APPELLANT DOES NOT DEEM IT IMPORTANT TO ADD ANYTHING NEW TO THE PART I OF THE APPELLEE'S ARGOMENT.

THE ONLY POINT GORTLY OF REPLY IS

THAT BY RECITING THE AFFIDAVIT OF HOTOPIOUS

PERJURER DANIEL P. MCCONNELL OVER AND OVER

AND OVER AGAIN IN SUPPORT OF THE APPELLEE'S

CLAIM THAT THE APPELLANT IS AN UNDERGUALIFIED

PROGRAMMER, THE APPELLEE IS TRYING TO

ESTABLISH THAT A CAUSUIT IS NOT A SEARCH

FOR TRUTH — IT IS A BATTLE OF DECEPTION.

4. AS TO PART II OF THE APPRICEE'S BRIEF CONCERNING THE INTERPRETATION OF THE STATUTE,

8 USCA HS3(Q)(3). THE ADDELLEE'S INTERPRETATION CLEARLY CONTRADICTS THAT OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

IN THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF APPLICATION FOR ALIEN EMPLOYMENT CERTIFICATION - JOINTLY DEVELOPED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND THE DEFARTHENT OF STATE ___ THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT REQUIRES THAT CERTAIN ALIENS, INCLUDING THE ONES WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE PROFESSIONS OR WHO HAVE EXCEPTIONAL ABILITY IN THE SCIENCES OR ARTS, "SEEKING ADMISSION TO THE UNITED STATES AS IMMIGRANTS . . . IN ORDER TO PERFORM GAINFUL EMPLOYMENT, A CERTIFICATION FROM THE SECRETARY OF LABOR IS RERUIRED . . . TO THE EFFECT THAT QUALIFIED DORKERS ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN THE UNITED STATES SIMILARLY EMPLOYED." HALDER AFFIDAVIT OF 08.25.1975, EXHIBIT M 2.

5. IN A DESPERATE AND MALICIOUS ATTEMPT
TO COVER.UP ITS DISCRIMINATORY EMPLOYMENT
POLICIES AND PRACTICES, THE APPELLEE HAS
AGAIN MENTIONED THAT THE UNEMPLOYMENT
RATE HAS INCREASED DRASTICALLY SINCE 1970.

SEE ALSO MENG AFFIDAVIT OF 07.03.1975.

PAGE 4. PARA S & MENG AFFIDAVIT OF

07.31.1975, PAGE 8. PARA 8

THE APPELLANT MAY AGAIN RESPECTEDLY
POINT OUT THAT AT ISSUE HERE. IS NOT THE
ENTIRE UNITED STATES ECONOMY, BUT THE JOB
MARKET FOR COMPUTER PROGRAMMERS. AT A TIME
WHEN 7'S MILLION WERE OUT OF WORK, ONE
MILLION JOBS WERE GOING BEGGING, INCLUDING
THAT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS AND COMPUTER
PROGRAMMERS. HALDER AFFIDAVIT OF 08.25-1935,
PAGES 14.6, PARA &B.

6. FINALLY, IN ANOTHER WELL CALCOLATED ATTEMPT TO DISCREDIT THE APPELLANT, THE APPELLANT'S APPELLANT'S RESUME SHOWS A TOTAL LACK OF CAREER ORIENTATION, WORK HISTORY, AND JOB STABILITY.

THE APPELLANT MAY AGAIN RESPECTABLLY

POINT OUT THAT HE HOLDS A BACHELOR'S

DECREE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING FROM

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA, AND BEFORE

HE ENTERED THE UNITED STATES, HE HAD

EXPERIENCE IN WRITING DIALNOSTIC PROCRAMS

— PROCRAMS TO DIAGNOSE COMPUTER

MALFUNCTIONING - FOR TWO YEARS WITH
TWO OF THE FIVE LARGEST COMPUTER
MANUFACTURERS OF THE WORLD.

SINCE THE APPELLANT CAME TO THE
LAND OF OPPORTUNITY, HE HAD TWO JOBS WITH
TWO COMPUTER CONSULTING FIRMS — TWO
DELAWARE CORPORATIONS. THE LIFE SPAN OF
BOTH THOSE DELAWARE CORPORATIONS RANCE
FROM A FEW MONTHS TO A LITTLE OVER A YEAR.

VOLONTARILY BECAUSE HE REPEATEDLY FAILED
TO PERSONDE HIS EMPLOYER TO ABIDE BY
THE LAG OF THE LAND — THAT A NONWHITE AND A NON-CITIZEN HAS THE RICHT
TO BE COMPENSATED EQUALLY AS THE WHITE
217176N.

THE SECOND EMPLOYER DISMISSED HIM
UNJUSTIFIABLY — VIDE OPINION OF JUDGE
THEODORE D. ROSENBERL OF SUPERIOR COURT
OF NEW JERSEY. DOCKET # L 27478.70.

THE TIME THE APPELLANT ENTERED

THE UNITED STATES, HIS CAREER ORIENTATION,
WORK HISTORY, AND JOB STABILITY WERE

EXCELLENT. BUT UNFORTUNATELY THIS CORRUPT

AND REPRESSIVE SYSTEM HAS NOT ONLY

DESTROYED HIS CAREER, BUT HAS THREATENED

HIS VERY EXISTENCE.

WHEREFORE, THE APPELLANT RESPECTIVELY REQUESTS THAT JUDGE MISHLER'S "ABUSE OF DISCRETION" IN DENYING THE PLAINTIFF.

APPELLANT'S APPLICATION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, SHOULD BE REVERSED.

BISCHANATH HALDER

SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL, 1976 . WHEIS SHAW

MULARY PUBLIC. State of New York

No. 41-8940925

Question in Queens Otherty

Term Expires March SQ 1884/979

Horr Street

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

BISWANATH HALDER,

Plaintible - Affellant .. DORKET NO. 76 7039 . against.

AUIS RENT. A. CAR SYSTEM, INC: EERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THE UNDERSIGNED HERERY CERTIFIES THAT
ON APRIL 21, 1976 HE SERVED A TRUE ROPY
OF THE FOREGOING AFFIDAVIT UPON MEYER,
ENGLISH & RIANGIULLI, ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT.
APPELLEE, 160 MINEOLA BOULEVARD, MINEOCA,
NEW YORK 11501, BY UNITED STATES MAIL,
POSTAGE PREPAID.

Bispared Walls
Diffellant Pro Sc

BISGANATH HALDER

173 17 65 AVENUE

FRESH MEADOWS, NY 11365

TELEPHONE: 212-539.2305

DATED: Queens, New Yorke DANC 21, 1926